

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/788,801	02/27/2004	Scott Musson	BEAS-01382US0	7864	
23910 FLIESLER ME	7590 06/27/200 EYER LLP	EXAMINER			
650 CALIFORNIA STREET			HASSAN, RASHEDUL		
14TH FLOOR SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2179		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/788,801	MUSSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
RASHEDUL HASSAN	2179		

	RASHEDUL HASSAN	2179						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:      The period for reply expires	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit all (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed date of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or within one of the follow in the final rejection, whi	thich places the (3) a Request ving time					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above; if checket. A vary reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company of th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core.</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the contraction in the c</li></ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);						
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c			ie issues ioi					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	or responding number or finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>		imal: filed emendmen	et according the					
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitted iii a separate, t	imely liled amendmen	it canceling the					
7. \( \times \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a					
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
/Weilun Lo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2179								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3, NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendments are not entered as they raise new issues and would require further consideration and/or search.

For example, Applicant's proposed amendments to Independent claims 1, 16 and 30 reciting, "a control taxonomy that is associated with", and "represent corresponding graphical and functional elements in web applications", and to Independent claim 46 reciting, "represents a corresponding graphical and functional element in a web application" are new limitations for the independent claims requiring further consideration and/or search. Therefore, these amendments are not entered.